

Family Name	Furber
Given Name	Martin
Person ID	1287569
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Furber
Given Name	Martin
Person ID	1287569
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The 450 executive detached houses will be built on protected Green Belt land. The site fails to comply with PfE objectives 7 and 8. It is far from consistent with sustainable development and NPPF Chapters.</p> <p>Having worked in the car industry I am very aware of the negative impact on air quality of diesel particulates and nitrogen oxide. It is estimated that up to 20,000 deaths a year are caused in this country by car pollution. I reference the well publicised coroners report of Ella Kissi-Deborah"s death in 2013 confirming the deadly impact of car pollution, including severe asthma attacks. Building these executive houses away from tram and railway stations will add 1000+ cars onto busy roads increasing airborne pollution with its negative health impacts especially for Children. This is not sustainable.</p> <p>Quite frankly the flooding assessment is a fiction. I regularly walk across the fields and footpaths in this green belt. Heavy rain causes the area to be very boggy. The removal of trees and hedges to be replaced by houses will only increase the flood risk. Finally, schools, dental surgeries and Doctors are already full. I recently experienced the extreme difficulty my father in law had in obtaining face to face time from his local GP surgery prior to his death. This situation will only get worse under this proposal.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As a resident of Rochdale I am very surprised Brownfield sites close to existing tram and railway stations were not proposed. These would be sustainable.